



**NORTH EAST SD
DATA PRESENTATION
2016-2017**



NEHS

Building Level Academic Score

▲ 87.4

Select View Calculation below to see the detailed calculation used to determine the building level academic score.

🔍 [View Calculation](#)

Building Level Academic Score

▲ 83.3

Select View Calculation below to see the detailed calculation used to determine the building level academic score.

🔍 [View Calculation](#)

NEMS

Building Level Academic Score

▲ 80.9

Select View Calculation below to see the detailed calculation used to determine the building level academic score.

🔍 [View Calculation](#)

Building Level Academic Score

▼ 67.3

Select View Calculation below to see the detailed calculation used to determine the building level academic score.

🔍 [View Calculation](#)

ECDDP

NEIE



School Performance Profile Comparison by District (HS)

	FAIRVIEW HIGH SCHOOL	NORTH EAST HIGH SCHOOL	GIRARD HIGH SCHOOL	FORT LeBOEUF HIGH SCHOOL	NORTHWESTERN HIGH SCHOOL	IROQUOIS HIGH SCHOOL	HARBOR CREEK HIGH SCHOOL	GENERAL McLANE HIGH SCHOOL	SENECA HIGH SCHOOL	UNION CITY HIGH SCHOOL
SPP	101.1	87.4	85.1	82.5	81.3	78.6	78.4	77	71.8	62.5



STRENGTHS

Continued achievement in ALG, LIT, BIO
Continued achievement in SAT/ACT/ECTS
Increase in Growth in ALG,LIT, BIO

CONCERNS

None at this time

NEXT STEPS

Continue with our plan of assessment.
Identified weak areas addressed through SLO's and assessment
Continue to adapt instruction based upon student need.
Continue Study Island Benchmarking to identify weak areas

NEHS SPP TREND HISTORY

Academic Year	2012-13	2013-14	2014-15	2015-16	2016-17
Academic Score	▲ 83	▲ 88.9	▲ 90.2	▲ 85.4	▲ 87.4
Performance Achievement					
Algebra I - percent proficient or advanced	■ 73.5	▲ 82.8	▲ 89.8	▲ 86.8	▲ 87.9
Literature - percent proficient or advanced	▲ 81.4	▲ 90.1	▲ 89.9	▲ 83.5	▲ 82.6
Biology - percent proficient or advanced	▼ 45.3	■ 69.7	■ 73.5	■ 79.8	■ 76.1
Industry Standards - percent proficient or advanced					
Industry Standards - percent proficient or advanced	■ 79	▲ 95	■ 77.8	▲ 88.2	▲ 92.9
SAT/ACT college ready benchmark					
SAT/ACT college ready benchmark	▲ 82.7	■ 78.4	▲ 100	■ 76.9	▲ 100
Indicators of CAG - All Students					
Algebra - percent met			▲ 100	▲ 100	▲ 100
Literature - percent met			▲ 100	▼ 34.8	▼ 11.8
Biology - percent met		▲ 100	▲ 100	▲ 100	▲ 100
Indicators of CAG - Historically underperforming					
Algebra - percent met			▲ 100	▲ 100	▲ 100
Literature - percent met			▲ 100	▼ 35	▼ 0
Biology - percent met		▲ 100	▲ 100	▲ 100	▲ 100
Indicators of Academic Growth-PVAAS					
Algebra - meeting annual growth expectation	▲ 100	▲ 92	▲ 100	▲ 100	▲ 100
Literature - meeting annual growth expectation	▼ 67	▼ 69	■ 71	■ 73	▲ 84
Biology - meeting annual growth expectation	▲ 100	▲ 100	▲ 100	▲ 94	▲ 96
Other Academic Indicators					
Cohort Graduation Rate	▲ 87	▲ 92.6	▲ 92.37	▲ 92.8	▲ 91.4
Attendance Rate	▲ 93.9	▲ 93.5	▲ 94.13	▲ 94	▲ 94.4
Advanced Placement, College Credit	▲ 100	▲ 100	▲ 100	▲ 100	▲ 100
PSAT/Plan participation	▲ 96	▼ 68	▲ 88.82	■ 75.3	▲ 82
Extra Credit of Advanced Achievement					
Percent Algebra Advanced	36	26	31.63	25.62	31.9
Percent Literature Advanced	17	8	9.09	6.61	12.2
Percent Biology Advanced	7.7	24.6	30.61	45.38	44.3
Percent Industry Standards Advanced	26.3	70	55.56	76.47	64.3
Percent 3 or Higher on AP Exam	27	93	34.84	36.06	31.3

NEHS

Building Level Academic Score

▲ 87.4

Select View Calculation below to see the detailed calculation used to determine the building level academic score.

🔍 [View Calculation](#)

NEWS

Building Level Academic Score

▲ 83.3

Select View Calculation below to see the detailed calculation used to determine the building level academic score.

🔍 [View Calculation](#)

NEIE

Building Level Academic Score

▲ 80.9

Select View Calculation below to see the detailed calculation used to determine the building level academic score.

🔍 [View Calculation](#)

ECDP

Building Level Academic Score

▼ 67.3

Select View Calculation below to see the detailed calculation used to determine the building level academic score.

🔍 [View Calculation](#)



School Performance Profile Comparison by District (MS)

SPP	83.3	82.3	81.9	81	78.6	78.3	73.2	72	70.5	68.9	66.6	65	64	64
	NORTH EAST MIDDLE SCHOOL	WALNUT CREEK MS (MILLCREEK)	WESTLAKE MS (MILLCREEK)	FAIRVIEW MIDDLE SCHOOL	IROQUOIS JR./SR. HIGH SCHOOL	J.S. WILSON MS (MILLCREEK)	NORTHWESTERN MIDDLE SCHOOL	RICE AVENUE MIDDLE SCHOOL	JAMES PARKER MIDDLE SCHOOL	FORT LeBOEUF MIDDLE SCHOOL	CORRY AREA MIDDLE SCHOOL	UNION CITY MIDDLE SCHOOL	WATTSBURG AREA MIDDLE SCHOOL	HARBOR CREEK JUNIOR HIGH SCHOOL



STRENGTHS

Math Achievement +5.46%

ELA Achievement +4.3%

Math Growth = 91

ELA Growth = 100

Science Growth=87

CONCERNS

Science achievement -11.82%

PSSA blueprint for 2018 challenges

NEXT STEPS

Implementation of new IScience resource with a focus on reporting category one (the nature of science)

Align SLO to PVAAs data where appropriate

Begin implementation of Writer' Workshop

NEHS

Building Level Academic Score

▲ 87.4

Select View Calculation below to see the detailed calculation used to determine the building level academic score.

🔍 [View Calculation](#)

Building Level Academic Score

▲ 83.3

Select View Calculation below to see the detailed calculation used to determine the building level academic score.

🔍 [View Calculation](#)

NEMS

Building Level Academic Score

▲ 80.9

Select View Calculation below to see the detailed calculation used to determine the building level academic score.

🔍 [View Calculation](#)

Building Level Academic Score

▼ 67.3

Select View Calculation below to see the detailed calculation used to determine the building level academic score.

🔍 [View Calculation](#)

ECDDP

NEIE



School Performance Profile by District (ES)

1	Mill Village Elementary School	92.5
2	Grandview Elementary School	88.9
3	Belle Valley Elementary School	88.8
4	Klein Elementary School	88.1
5	Asbury Elementary School	87.1
6	Fairview Elementary School	86.0
7	Clark Elementary School	85.6
8	Rolling Ridge Elementary School	85.4
9	Corry Intermediate Elementary	85.1
10	McKean Elementary School	83.9
11	Edinboro Elementary School	82.1

12	Tracy Elementary School	81.4
13	NE Intermediate Elementary	80.9
14	Wattsburg Elementary School	80.1
15	Iroquois Elementary School	78.7
16	Springfield Elementary School	76.8
17	Robison Elementary School	73.8
18	Union City Elementary School	72.5
19	Chestnut Hill Elementary School	70.7
20	Elk Valley Elementary School	68.7
21	Waterford Elementary School	66.8
22	Northwestern Elementary School	66.2

STRENGTHS

- Consecutive years with distinctive growth across all content areas.
- Consecutive years with positive SPP scores since introduction of PA CORE.

CONCERNS

- Revised PSSA Assessment Blueprint
- 3rd Grade Math Achievement / 4th Grade Math Growth

NEXT STEPS

- Continued collaboration, exploration, and implementation of Writer's Workshop Model to address identified focus areas in ELA.
- Mathematics
- Adjustments made as it relates to the new assessment blueprint regarding recent changes to PSSA Test Design.



NEHS

Building Level Academic Score

▲ 87.4

Select View Calculation below to see the detailed calculation used to determine the building level academic score.

🔍 [View Calculation](#)

Building Level Academic Score

▲ 83.3

Select View Calculation below to see the detailed calculation used to determine the building level academic score.

🔍 [View Calculation](#)

NEMS

NEIE

Building Level Academic Score

▲ 80.9

Select View Calculation below to see the detailed calculation used to determine the building level academic score.

🔍 [View Calculation](#)

Building Level Academic Score

▼ 67.3

Select View Calculation below to see the detailed calculation used to determine the building level academic score.

🔍 [View Calculation](#)

ECDD

3rd Grade Achievement Comparison by District (MATH)

1	Rolling Ridge Elementary	90.6
2	Robison Elementary School	83.3
3	Klein Elementary School	79.4
4	Grandview Elementary School	77.5
5	Elk Valley Elementary School	76.8
6	Asbury Elementary School	75.0
6	Springfield Elementary School	75.0
8	Tracy Elementary School	74.2
9	Edinboro Elementary School	73.0
10	Mill Village Elementary School	72.8
11	Fairview Elementary School	72.3

12	McKean Elementary School	71.6
13	Iroquois Elementary School	70.7
14	Waterford Elementary School	70.2
15	Belle Valley Elementary School	69.8
15	Northwestern Elementary School	69.8
17	Chestnut Hill Elementary School	69.0
18	Earle C. Davis Primary	60.1
19	Union City Elementary School	60.0
20	Clark Elementary School	58.3
21	Corry Primary School	58.2
22	Wattsburg Elementary School	48.0

3rd Grade Achievement Comparison by District (ELA)

1	Rolling Ridge Elementary	93.8
2	Klein Elementary School	88.2
3	Mill Village Elementary School	86.3
4	Grandview Elementary School	84.0
5	Fairview Elementary School	83.2
6	Edinboro Elementary School	80.9
6	Robison Elementary School	80.9
8	Asbury Elementary School	78.8
9	Belle Valley Elementary School	78.5
10	Earle C. Davis Primary	77.4
11	Elk Valley Elementary School	75.9

12	Tracy Elementary School	74.5
13	Clark Elementary School	72.2
14	McKean Elementary School	71.7
15	Iroquois Elementary School	71.4
16	Union City Elementary School	68.2
17	Chestnut Hill Elementary School	67.6
18	Waterford Elementary School	64.2
19	Northwestern Elementary School	63.0
20	Springfield Elementary School	61.1
21	Wattsburg Elementary School	61.0
22	Corry Primary School	56.8



STRENGTHS

- + 3rd Grade Reading scores are maintaining for two consecutive years: 81.4, 75.38 and 76.74

CONCERNS

- + SPP Data is trending down for Davis
- + 2013-2014 SPP 92.8 Math 92.24 Reading 86.21
- + 2014-2015 NO SPP Math 67.5 Reading 81.4
- + 2015-2016 SPP 73.9 Math 73.64 Reading 75.38
- + 2016-2017 SPP 67.3 Math 59.69 Reading 76.74
- + 3rd Grade Math scores have dropped to their lowest point since the adoption of PA Common Core; 67.5, 73.64, and 59.69
- + We are losing the opportunity for points on closing the achievement gap for all students as well as underperforming students due to the Math and ELA scores dropping.

NEXT STEPS

With the support of the IU #5 Davis will work throughout the 17/18 SY and during the summer of 2018 on K-2 math and reading curriculum maps with an emphasis on assuring we are aligned to eligible content for each grade level.

Professional development will focus on math for the 2018-2019 academic year.

A study of the textbook series and materials will be accessed according to PSSA 3rd grade data and NWEA.

