



NORTH EAST SD DATA PRESENTATION

2015-2016

PRELIMINARY RESULTS



NEHS

Building Level Academic Score

▲ 85.8

Select View Calculation below to see the detailed calculation used to determine the building level academic score.

🔍 [View Calculation](#)

Building Level Academic Score

■ 78.9

Select View Calculation below to see the detailed calculation used to determine the building level academic score.

🔍 [View Calculation](#)

NEMS

Building Level Academic Score

▲ 82.4

Select View Calculation below to see the detailed calculation used to determine the building level academic score.

🔍 [View Calculation](#)

Building Level Academic Score

■ 73.9

Select View Calculation below to see the detailed calculation used to determine the building level academic score.

🔍 [View Calculation](#)

ECDDP

PRELIMINARY RESULTS

NEIE



School Performance Profile Comparison by District (HS)

	NORTH EAST HIGH SCHOOL	HARBOR CREEK HIGH SCHOOL	ILLINOIS HIGH SCHOOL	GENEVA HIGH SCHOOL	STANFORD HIGH SCHOOL	LAURELVIEW HIGH SCHOOL	NORTHWESTERN HIGH SCHOOL	GENERAL McLANE HIGH SCHOOL	FORT LeBOEUF HIGH SCHOOL	CORRY HIGH SCHOOL
SPP	85.8	68	75.5	69.9	80.9	99.5	87.5	87.8	88.3	64

PRELIMINARY RESULTS



STRENGTHS

Continued achievement in Alg, LIT, BIO
Continued increase in SAT/ACT
Continued increase in Grad Rate

CONCERNS

None

NEXT STEPS

PRELIMINARY RESULTS

Continue with our plan of assessment.

Identified weak areas addressed through SLO's and assessment
Continue to adapt instruction based upon student need.

NEHS

Building Level Academic Score

▲ 85.8

Select View Calculation below to see the detailed calculation used to determine the building level academic score.

🔍 View Calculation

Building Level Academic Score

■ 78.9

Select View Calculation below to see the detailed calculation used to determine the building level academic score.

🔍 View Calculation

NEWS

NEIE

Building Level Academic Score

▲ 82.4

Select View Calculation below to see the detailed calculation used to determine the building level academic score.

🔍 View Calculation

Building Level Academic Score

■ 73.9

Select View Calculation below to see the detailed calculation used to determine the building level academic score.

🔍 View Calculation

ECDD

PRELIMINARY RESULTS



School Performance Profile Comparison by District (MS)

	NORTH EAST MIDDLE SCHOOL	FAIRVIEW MIDDLE SCHOOL	FORT LeBOEUF MIDDLE SCHOOL	CE AVENUE MIDDLE SCHOOL	QUOIS SR. HIGH SCHOOL	UNION CITY MIDDLE SCHOOL	JAMES PARKER MIDDLE SCHOOL	ORTHWESTERN MIDDLE SCHOOL	WATTSBURG AREA MIDDLE SCHOOL	HARBOR CREEK JUNIOR HIGH SCHOOL
SPP	78.9	88.1	80.8	78.8	75.5	73.9	71.6	70.5	67.4	60.5

PRELIMINARY RESULTS



STRENGTHS

Achievement in ELA and Science

Growth in ELA and Math

“Closing the Achievement Gap” in ELA & Math

Achievement in math

CONCERNS

Growth among top performing students in science

Achievement in math

PRELIMINARY RESULTS


NEXT STEPS

Implementation of K-8 writing

Continue to focus on types of writing and text-dependent analysis.

Utilization of GoMath! textbooks to more closely align math instruction

Science Resources



NEHS

Building Level Academic Score

▲ 85.8

Select View Calculation below to see the detailed calculation used to determine the building level academic score.

🔍 View Calculation

Building Level Academic Score

■ 78.9

Select View Calculation below to see the detailed calculation used to determine the building level academic score.

🔍 View Calculation

NEMS

PRELIMINARY RESULTS

NEIE

Building Level Academic Score

▲ 82.4

Select View Calculation below to see the detailed calculation used to determine the building level academic score.

🔍 View Calculation

Building Level Academic Score

■ 73.9

Select View Calculation below to see the detailed calculation used to determine the building level academic score.

🔍 View Calculation

ECDDP



School Performance Profile by District (ES)

1	McKean Elementary School	90.1	12	Elk Valley Elementary School	77.1
2	Belle Valley Elementary School	86.5	13	Columbus Intermediate Elementary	75.4
3	Clark Elementary School	84.5	14	Grandview Elementary School	75.2
4	Asbury Elementary School	83.6	15	Springfield Elementary School	75.0
5	Edinboro Elementary School	82.7	16	Robison Elementary School	74.4
6	NE Intermediate Elementary	82.4	17	Union City Elementary School	68.0
7	Mill Village Elementary School	82.4	18	Chestnut Hill Elementary School	67.8
8	Wattsburg Elementary School	80.9	19	Northwestern Elementary School	67.7
9	Klein Elementary School	80.9	20	Northwestern Elementary School	66.3
10	Fairview Elementary School	80.3	21	Waterford Elementary School	65.5
11	Rolling Ridge Elementary School	78.0	22	Iroquois Elementary School	63.6

PRELIMINARY RESULTS

STRENGTHS

Growth Scores in ELA, Math, and Science
All Achievement Scores but 1 are ranked in
the top third of all ES in Erie County
Achievement/Growth Scores are consistently
Local & State Averages

CONCERNS

Maximize Academic Instruction Time
Achievement Level of Grade 4 Math
Consistent Writing Program
Instructional Fidelity

PRELIMINARY RESULTS

NEXT STEPS

Further alignment of C, I, A in all content areas, especially Grade 4 Math
Develop and implement a consistent writing program K-8
Continued exploration of strengths and focus areas within PA Core

NEHS

Building Level Academic Score

▲ 85.8

Select View Calculation below to see the detailed calculation used to determine the building level academic score.

🔍 [View Calculation](#)

Building Level Academic Score

■ 78.9

Select View Calculation below to see the detailed calculation used to determine the building level academic score.

🔍 [View Calculation](#)

NEMS

PRELIMINARY RESULTS

Building Level Academic Score

▲ 82.4

Select View Calculation below to see the detailed calculation used to determine the building level academic score.

🔍 [View Calculation](#)

Building Level Academic Score

■ 73.9

Select View Calculation below to see the detailed calculation used to determine the building level academic score.

🔍 [View Calculation](#)

ECDDP



3rd Grade Achievement Comparison by District (MATH)

1	Rolling Ridge Elementary	91.4	12	Union City Elementary School	67.8
2	Mill Village Elementary School	81.8	13	McKean Elementary School	64.6
3	Robison Elementary School	75.4	14	Waterford Elementary School	61.5
4	Clark Elementary School	75.0	15	Iroquois Elementary School	61.1
5	Edinboro Elementary School	73.8	16	Chestnut Hill Elementary School	57.4
6	Earle C. Davis Primary	73.4	17	Belle Valley Elementary School	56.7
7	Fairview Elementary School	71.9	18	Grandview Elementary School	51.2
8	Elk Valley Elementary School	70.8	19	Springfield Elementary School	54.4
9	Tracy Elementary School	70.8	20	Springfield Elementary School	54.4
10	Klein Elementary School	69.8	21	Grandview Elementary School	51.2
11	Northwestern Elementary School	68.7	22	Columbus Intermediate School	48.2

PRELIMINARY RESULTS

3rd Grade Achievement Comparison by District (ELA)

1	Rolling Ridge Elementary	87.1	12	Northwestern Elementary School	67.2
2	Fairview Elementary School	82.8	13	Iroquois Elementary School	64.9
3	Mill Village Elementary School	81.8	14	Tracy Elementary School	64.6
4	Clark Elementary School	80.0	15	Elk Valley Elementary School	64.4
5	Edinboro Elementary School	77.1	16	Belle Valley Elementary School	64.0
6	Klein Elementary School	76.8	17	Grandview Elementary School	59.6
7	Earle C. Davis Primary	75.3	18	Union City Elementary School	59.6
8	Wattsburg Elementary School	70.9	19	Asbury Elementary School	59.2
9	McKean Elementary School	67.7	20	Clarks Summit Elementary School	55.7
10	Waterford Elementary School	67.7	21	Columbus Intermediate School	50.6
11	Robison Elementary School	67.2	22	Springfield Elementary School	50.0

PRELIMINARY RESULTS



STRENGTHS

- + We moved from tenth in the county last year, to sixth this year in 3rd grade math achievement.
- + In “Closing the Achievement Gap” in mathematics, we earned a perfect 100.

CONCERNS

- + We moved from third place in the county to seventh place in 3rd grade ELA achievement.
- + We did not meet the “Closing the Achievement Gap” goal in ELA.

PRELIMINARY RESULTS



NEXT STEPS

- + Title 1 reading teachers will continue to go over to third grade the last period of the day to assist third grade students as they have for the last three years.
- + The Title 1 math teacher will begin going over during the last period of the day to assist the third grade math students. This is a new venture.
- + We offered summer school K-3 for students who are identified as needing additional assistance. This program proved to be very successful with high numbers of attendance.
- + We are offering a summer school program for students who have 30 registrants at this time.
- + We are going to send our AmeriCorps person over at the end of the day to help with 3rd grade Homework Help.

PRELIMINARY RESULTS

